UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT USING THE STATES OPS
BALTIMORE, MD District of Maryland
2021 FEB 24 P 3: 59 €
United States of America
v.) Case No. 21-442-TMD
Travis Crawford)
Defendant)
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Part I - Eligibility for Detention
Upon the
x Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
☐ Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.
Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
 A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community because the following conditions have been met: □ (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1): □ (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or □ (b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or □ (c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or □ (d) any felony if such person has been acquirited of two armore offences described in a rebuttable present has been acquirited of two armore offences described in a rebuttable present has been acquirited of two armore offences described in a rebuttable present has been acquired of two armore offences described in a rebuttable present has been acquired of two armore offences described in a rebuttable present has been acquired of two armore offences described in a rebuttable present has been acquired of two armore offences described in the controlled Substances Import and Export Act
(d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; or
(e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves: (i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and
 (2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed; and
 (3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and (4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

In

x B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a	
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendar committed one or more of the following offenses:	e nt
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);	
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;	
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;	'S
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or	of
<u>x</u> (5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.	
\underline{x} C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above	
x The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)	
OR	
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.	
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:	g,
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.	
By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.	
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:	
 X Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong X Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted 	
x Prior criminal history	
x Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision	
History of violence or use of weapons	
History of alcohol or substance abuse	
Lack of stable employment	
☐ Lack of stable employment ☐ Lack of stable residence	
Lack of stable employment	

AO 472 (Rev.	11/16) Order of Detention Pending	Trial
. □ s	ignificant family or other t	ies outside the United States
	ack of legal status in the U	
		tation after serving any period of incarceration
	rior failure to appear in cou	
□ P:	rior attempt(s) to evade lav	v enforcement
U	se of alias(es) or false doci	uments
□ B	ackground information unl	known or unverified
<u>x</u> P	rior violations of probation	, parole, or supervised release
OTHER RE	EASONS OR FURTHER E	EXPLANATION:
minor gettin residence in illegal sale for second of	eo of the touching on his cong out of the shower. The congression a call for a water a firearm. The defendar	that the defendant inappropriately touching the minor child of his girlfriend while cell phone. The defendant also set up a camera in the bathroom and videoed the defendant was in possession of a loaded handgun when the officers came to his anted subject. In fact, there was an outstanding warrant for the defendant for the at is a prohibited person to possess a firearm. Among his prior convictions is one findings of violation of probation. The proposed third party custodian has minor
		Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention
being held i with defense in charge of	nent in a corrections facili n custody pending appeal. e counsel. On order of a co	
Date:	2/24/2021	Thomas M. DiGirolamo Thomas M. DiGirolamo
		United States Magistrate Judge